## 1 MATERN LAW GROUP, PC Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798) FILED mmatern@maternlawgroup.com Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles Joshua D. Boxer (SBN 226712) 3 jboxer@maternlawgroup.com 01/19/2024 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court Manhattan Beach, California 90266 A. He Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Deputy 5 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff LORENA ELIZABETH SIBRIAN-FRANCO, individually and on 7 behalf of other persons similarly situated and aggrieved 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 Case No.: 19STCV21220 LORENA ELIZABETH SIBRIAN-FRANCO, Electronically Received 01/16/2024 05:18 PM 12 an individual, on behalf of himself and others [Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable similarly situated and aggrieved, Stuart M. Rice, Dept. 1] 13 Plaintiffs, **CLASS ACTION** 14 AMENDED (<del>PROPOSED</del>) ORDER VS. 15 **GRANTING PRELIMINARY** APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION EAST VALLEY COMMUNITY HEALTH 16 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT CENTER, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive 17 Date: January 5, 2023 Defendants. Time: 10:30 a.m. 18 Dept.: 19 Complaint Filed: June 18, 2019 FAC Filed: September 19, 2019 20 Trial Date: Not Set 21 Accompanying Documents: Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary 22 Approval of Class Action Settlement; Declaration of Joshua D. Boxer; Declaration 23 of Citadelle B. Priagula; Declaration of Julie Green of CPT Group, Inc.; Declaration of 24 Plaintiff Lorena Sibrian-Franco 25 26 27 28

E-Served: Jan 22 2024 9:56AM PST Via Case Anywhere

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC 1230 ROSECRANS AVENUE, SUITE # 200 MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266

## 

## AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER

Plaintiff Lorena Elizabeth Sibrian-Franco' ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion") came regularly for hearing before this Court on January 5, 2024 2023. The Court, having considered the proposed Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement" or "Agreement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Joshua D. Boxer filed concurrently with the Motion; having considered Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, memorandum of points and authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action and PAGA action settlement as set forth in the Agreement and finds its terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a final fairness hearing. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
  - a. Under the Agreement, the gross settlement sum shall be \$1,050,000.
  - b. From the gross settlement amount, Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees of \$350,000; costs of roughly \$35,000 (subject to proof at final approval); a service award to Plaintiff of \$10,000; and administrative expenses of \$19,600. Further, the Agreement allocates \$200,000 to PAGA penalties. This is a total reduction of **\$614,600** from the gross settlement amount.
  - c. The net settlement amount distributed to class members will be \$435,400. The LWDA shall receive \$150,000 in PAGA penalties and aggrieved employees shall receive \$50,000.
- 2. For purposes of the settlement only, the Court finds that the proposed Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members of the Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following Class:

"All current and former non-exempt employees in the state of California at any time between June 15, 2015 through the date of the Preliminary Approval

- 3. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court designates Plaintiff Lorena Elizabeth Sibrian-Franco as the Class Representative for the Class, and designates Matthew J. Matern, and Joshua D. Boxer of Matern Law Group, PC as Class Counsel.
  - 4. The Court designates CPT Group as the third-party Settlement Administrator.
- 5. The Parties are ordered to implement the Settlement according to the terms of the Agreement.
- 6. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Settlement of Class Action ("Class Notice") attached as **Exhibit A** to this Order.
- 7. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Request for Exclusion Form ("Exclusion Form") attached as **Exhibit B** to this Order.
- 8. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class regarding the pendency of the action and of the Settlement, the dates selected for mailing and distribution, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Class, satisfy the requirements of due process, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Class. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, California Civil Code § 1781, California Rules of Court §§ 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- 9. The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to opt-out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Class Notice and the Agreement. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the final fairness hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Class Members.
- 10. The Court directs the Administrator to mail the Class Notice to the members of the Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 11. The Class Notice shall provide forty-five (45) calendar days' notice for Class Members to opt-out of or object to the Settlement.
- 12. The hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Settlement on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled

in Department 1 of this Court, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, on June 20, 2024, at 10:30 a.m.

- 13. At the Final Fairness hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiff's application for class representative service awards, settlement administration costs, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, should be granted.
- 14. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and materials in support of their request for final approval of Plaintiff's application for class representative service awards, settlement administration costs, Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, prior to the hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Settlement according to the time limits set by the Code of Civil Procedure and the California Rules of Court.

15. The Court order the following implementation schedule:

Event	Date
Defendant to provide class contact information to the Administrator no later than:	February 5, 2024 [15 days following preliminary approval]
Administrator to mail the Class Notice and Exclusion Form to the Class no later than:	February 20, 2024  [14 days following provision of contact information]
Deadline for Class Members to submit disputes, request exclusion from, or object to the Settlement:	April 5, 2024  [45 calendar days after mailing of the Class Notice]
Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement:	May 28, 2024
Hearing on Motion for Final Approval of Settlement	June 20, 2024, at, at, Dept.1

- 16. Pending the Final Approval hearing, all proceedings in this Action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this Order, are stayed.
  - 17. Counsel for the Parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in

1	connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with		
2	either this Order or the terms of the Settleme		CHORDE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.		SIM Ku
4			
5	DATED: January 19, 2024	By:	y: Stuart M. Rice / Judge JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
6			JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC 1230 ROSECRANS AVENUE, SUITE # 200 MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266